## Comparative Needs Assessment Summary (w/out Dayton survey)

Population Statistics (2015 American Community Survey Estimates)

| Location | Population | Households <br> that <br> Responded | Households Total | Housing Site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No response |  | $7 / 0$ |  |  |
| Pineview | 657 | 34 | 301 |  |
| Madden Hills* | $606^{*}$ | 14 | 331 |  |
| Edgemont | 1798 | 11 | 694 | [Desoto Bass] |
| Miami Chapel* | $1465^{*}$ | $3 /[132]$ | $762 /[313]$ | [Hilltop] |
| Lakeview | 1787 | $9 /[47]$ | $715 /[128]$ |  |

*The 2015 ACS only has block group data and Madden Hills as well as Miami Chapel occupy part of the same block group. Thus, the population data listed is an additional estimation that assumes the same population distribution for the neighborhood as the 2010 census between the 2 neighborhoods where the block group intersects. This assumption is possible because the city of Dayton does have neighborhood populations for 2010.

Things that jump out at me or that I think are surprising/significant are highlighted.

## Youth Activities (currently participating)

| Neighborhood Survey - 7 Residents | Resident Survey - 76 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Significant Difference

1. Library
a. $47 \%(3 / 7) \mid 15 \%(11 / 76)$
2. After school programs
a. $47 \%(3 / 7) \mid 5 \%(4 / 76)$
3. None
a. $29 \%(2 / 7) \mid 44 \%(33 / 76)$

Youth Activities (desired)

| Neighborhood Survey-7 Residents | Resident Survey - 86 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Top 4 Significant Similarities

1. Recreation/Sports
a. $71 \%(5 / 7) \mid 72 \%(62 / 86)$
2. Academic Tutoring
a. $71 \%(5 / 7) \mid 46 \%(40 / 76)$
3. Social Activities
a. $43 \%(3 / 7) \mid 52 \%(45 / 86)$
4. Mentoring
a. $43 \%(3 / 7) \mid 42 \%(36 / 86)$
5. Other similar and reasonably highly desired youth activity opportunities
a. Life skills / financial literacy - ( $43 \%$ | $35 \%$ )
b. Computer Classes - (57\% \| 30\%)

## Top Significant Differences

1. Art, Dance, Music, Singing
a. $14 \%(1 / 7) \mid 57 \%(49 / 86)$
2. Youth leadership Assistance
3. Drug Prevention
4. Job Skills Training/Employment
a. $29 \%(2 / 7) \mid 46-7 \%(39-40 / 86)$

## Services for Self/Family (desired)

\section*{| Neighborhood Survey - 78 Residents | Resident Survey -172 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |}

Top Significant Similarities - GDPM residents desired, by more than 20\%, 18 of the 22 options listed whereas $20 \%$ or more of neighborhood residents only desired 7 of the options listed, hence the large priority difference numbers.

1. Physical fitness for adults - ( $1^{\text {st }}$ priority $\mid 5^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $51 \%(40 / 78) \mid 39 \%(67 / 178)$
2. Computer classes/lab - (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ priority $\mid 6^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $36 \%(28 / 78) \mid 33 \%(57 / 172)$
3. Community gardens $-\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right.$ priority $\mid 13^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $29 \%(23 / 78) \mid 28 \%(48 / 172)$
i. Different question than where the pwpt. \%s come from ( $55 \%$ | 62\%)
4. Recreation/organized sports $-\left(4^{\text {th }}\right.$ *tie | probably high)
a. $23 \%(18 / 78)$ | Was not specifically asked but given similarities to physical fitness is probably a high percentage

## Significant Difference

1. Transportation - (*tied $18^{\text {th }}$ priority $\mid 1^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. $6 \%(5 / 78) \mid 51 \%(88 / 172)$
2. Youth programs $-\left(8^{\text {th }}\right.$ priority $\mid 2^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. $18 \%(14 / 78) \mid 46 \%(79 / 172)$
i. Weirdly, this is also thought to be a neighborhood strength by $25 \%$ of GDPM residents. Perhaps there are exclusive highly sought after youth programs that can be more inclusive in the neighborhood?
3. GED/Adult Education - (*tied $18^{\text {th }}$ priority $\mid{ }^{*}$ tied $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $6 \%(5 / 78) \mid 42 \%(72 / 172)$
4. Job skills training/employment assistance - ( $9^{\text {th }}$ priority $\left.\right|^{*}$ tied $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $17 \%(13 / 78)$ | $42 \%(72 / 172)$

## Neighborhood Strengths

| Neighborhood Survey - 78 Residents | Resident Survey - 170 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Top Significant Similarities

1. Public Transportation - (*tied $1^{\text {st }}$ priority $\mid 1^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. $44 \%(34 / 78)$ | $51 \%(87 / 170)$
2. Affordability - ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority | $2^{\text {nd }}$ priority)
a. $40 \%(31 / 78)$ | $43 \%(70 / 170)$
3. Places of worship - ( $4^{\text {th }}$ priority $\mid 4^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $28 \%(22 / 78) \mid 30 \%(50 / 170)$
4. Other similar neighborhood strength perceptions
a. Nearby to family/friends ( $28 \%$ | $23 \%$ )
b. Parks (15\% | 23\%)

## Significant Difference

1. Location-(*tied $1^{\text {st }}$ priority $\mid 5^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $44 \%(34 / 78) \mid 27 \%(46 / 170)$
i. Perhaps location is most important to people who have cars more-so than rely on transportation?
2. Schools $-\left(6^{\text {th }}\right.$ priority $\mid 3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $19 \%(15 / 78) \mid 33 \%(56 / 170)$
3. Other different neighborhood strength perceptions
a. Youth programs ( $3 \% \mid 25 \%$ )
b. Shopping/retail stores ( $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ )
c. Social events (4\% | 22\%)
d. Childcare (1\% | 22\%)
e. Employment Opportunities (5\% | 20\%)

Neighborhood Organization Participation

| Neighborhood Survey - 78 Residents | Resident Survey - 154 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Significant Difference

1. Yes
a. $50 \%(39 / 78) \mid 13 \%(20 / 154)$
2. No
a. $50 \%(39 / 78) \mid 87 \%(134 / 154)$
i. A large majority of GDPM residents claimed they were unaware of civic groups or didn't know of their existence

## Are There Current Neighborhood Services/Organizations Important You?

| Neighborhood Survey - 78 Residents | Resident Survey $\mathbf{- 1 5 4}$ Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Significant Difference

1. Yes
a. $47 \%(37 / 78)$ | $26 \%(40 / 154)$
2. No
a. $53 \%(41 / 78)$ | $74 \%(114 / 154)$
i. I would have guessed more GDPM residents would rely on neighborhood services

## Business Desires

| Neighborhood Survey -78 Residents | Resident Survey -172 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Top Significant Similarities - perhaps we need to address 3 separate strategies, grocery store, restaurant and farmers market strategies while also having a general retail strategy that would encompass the remaining desires. Can think of the library as a possible opportunity for all desires below.

1. Grocery Store - ( $1^{\text {st }}$ priority $\mid 1^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. $73 \%(57 / 78)$ | $69 \%$ (119/172)
2. Restaurants $-\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ priority $\mid 3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $47 \%(37 / 78) \mid 51 \%(88 / 172)$
i. There is also significant desire for food service jobs among GDPM residents
3. Farmers Market - (3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority $\mid 7^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $42 \%(33 / 78) \mid 34 \%(58 / 172)$
4. Clothing Store $-\left({ }^{*}\right.$ tie $6^{\text {th }}$ priority $\mid 4^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $21 \%(16 / 78)$ | $43 \%(74 / 172)$
5. Retail/Large Generic Retail (*tie $6^{\text {th }} \mid 6^{\text {th }}$ priority
a. $21 \%(16 / 78) \mid 35 \% ~(60 / 172)$
6. Other Businesses Desired ( $>20 \%$ by both parties)
a. Bookstore ( $22 \%$ | $26 \%$ )
b. Coffee ( $24 \%$ | 20\%)
i. These go hand in hand together and perhaps would amount to a place to hang out as I suspect it's actually a smaller margin that are avid readers
ii. Especially a potential reuse for current library?

Significant Differences - all businesses but a hardware were listed by more than $20 \%$ of GDPM residents as desired while $20 \%$ of neighborhood resident respondents listed 7 of the 21 options as desired.

1. Laundromat - ( $11^{\text {th }}$ priority $\mid 2^{\text {nd }}$ priority)
a. $15 \%(12 / 78$ | $56 \%(96 / 172)$
2. Childcare $-\left(15^{\text {th }}\right.$ priority $\mid 5^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $8 \%(6 / 78) \mid 36 \%(62 / 172)$
i. While seemingly insignificant for the neighborhood, there are only 7 people that responded that have children. It is possibly a very high and underserved priority of those 7 people, based on 6 people prioritizing childcare
3. Beauty salon/Barber shop $-\left(16^{\text {th }}\right.$ priority $\mid 8^{\text {th }}$ priority $)$
a. $6 \%(5 / 78) \mid 32 \%(55 / 172)$
i. Perhaps people drive to these normally in the neighborhood? Perhaps these historically social businesses are seen as more important socially by lower economic class individuals?
4. Other significant and different business desires
a. Significant as in (>10\% \& <20\% neighborhood residents | $>30 \%$ of GDPM residents)
i. Movie Theater (19\% \| 30\%)
ii. Social Services (13\% \| 31\%)

## Safety Concerns

\section*{| Neighborhood Survey - 78 Residents | Resident Survey - 172 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |}

Top Significant Similarities

1. Drug dealing - ( $1^{\text {st }}$ priority $\mid 1^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. $80 \%(57 / 78) \mid 71 \%(119 / 172)$
2. Drug Use $-\left(4^{\text {th }}\right.$ priority $\mid 2^{\text {nd }}$ priority $)$
a. $40 \%(31 / 78 \mid 59 \%(101 / 172)$

## i. Need a drug component of the Transformation Plan?

3. Theft - ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ priority $\mid 4^{\text {th }}$ priority)
a. $42 \%(33 / 78) \mid 50 \%(86 / 172)$
4. Traffic/speeding $-\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right.$ priority $\mid 3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $41 \%(32 / 78) \mid 51 \%(88 / 172)$
i. Street calming/transit corridor improvements?
5. Other shared concerns
a. Homeless/transients (33\% | 23\%)

## Significant Differences

1. Juvenile delinquency ( $10 \% \mid 47 \%, 5^{\text {th }}$ priority)
i. How to address this for residents specifically?
2. Gang activity ( $4 \% \mid 44 \% 6^{\text {th }}$ priority)
3. Domestic violence ( $6 \% \mid 40 \%$, $7^{\text {th }}$ priority)
4. Child Abuse (4\% | 25\%)
5. Prostitution (4\% \| 19\%)

## Safety Desires

| Neighborhood Survey - 43 Residents | Resident Survey - 173 Residents |
| :--- | :--- |

Top Significant Similarities

1. Police Presence $-\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ priority $\mid 2^{\text {nd }}$ priority)
a. $53 \%(23 / 43) \mid 41 \%(71 / 173)$

## Significant Differences

1. Working with youth - (N/A | $1^{\text {st }}$ priority)
a. N/A | $49 \%(85 / 173)$
i. Is this to help Juvenile Delinquency and gangs, tie in with mentoring?
2. Neighborhood Watch/Community Policing - (*tie $4^{\text {th }}$ priority $\|^{*}$ tie $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. $9 \%(4 / 43) \mid 39 \%(67 / 173)$
3. Crime Prevention Advice - (N/A $\left.\right|^{*}$ tie $3^{\text {rd }}$ priority)
a. N/A | $39 \%(67 / 173)$
4. Victim Assistance
a. N/A | $29 \%(50 / 173)$
