# **Comparative Needs Assessment Summary (w/out Dayton survey)**

Population Statistics (2015 American Community Survey Estimates)

Location	Population	Households	Households Total	Housing Site
		that		
		Responded		
No response		7/0		
Pineview	657	34	301	
Madden Hills*	606*	14	331	
Edgemont	1798	11	694	
Miami Chapel*	1465*	3/[132]	762/[313]	[Desoto Bass]
Lakeview	1787	9/[47]	715/[128]	[Hilltop]

<sup>\*</sup>The 2015 ACS only has block group data and Madden Hills as well as Miami Chapel occupy part of the same block group. Thus, the population data listed is an additional estimation that assumes the same population distribution for the neighborhood as the 2010 census between the 2 neighborhoods where the block group intersects. This assumption is possible because the city of Dayton does have neighborhood populations for 2010.

Things that jump out at me or that I think are surprising/significant are highlighted.

# Youth Activities (currently participating)

Neighborhood Survey – 7 Residents	Resident Survey – 76 Residents
Significant Difference	

1. Library

a. 47% (3/7) | 15% (11/76)

2. After school programs

a. 47% (3/7) | 5% (4/76)

None

a. 29% (2/7) | 44% (33/76)

#### Youth Activities (desired)

Neighborhood Survey – 7 Residents	Resident Survey – 86 Residents

Top 4 Significant Similarities

- 1. Recreation/Sports
  - a. 71% (5/7) | 72% (62/86)
- 2. Academic Tutoring
  - a. 71% (5/7) | 46% (40/76)
- 3. Social Activities
  - a. 43% (3/7) | 52% (45/86)
- 4. Mentoring
  - a. 43% (3/7) | 42% (36/86)
- 5. Other similar and reasonably highly desired youth activity opportunities

- a. Life skills / financial literacy (43% | 35%)
- b. Computer Classes (57% | 30%)

## **Top Significant Differences**

- 1. Art, Dance, Music, Singing
  - a. 14% (1/7) | 57% (49/86)
- 2. Youth leadership Assistance
- 3. Drug Prevention
- 4. Job Skills Training/Employment
  - a. 29% (2/7) | 46-7% (39-40/86)

#### Services for Self/Family (desired)

## Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents

Resident Survey – 172 Residents

Top Significant Similarities – GDPM residents desired, by more than 20%, 18 of the 22 options listed whereas 20% or more of neighborhood residents only desired 7 of the options listed, hence the large priority difference numbers.

- 1. Physical fitness for adults (1st priority | 5th priority)
  - a. 51% (40/78) | 39% (67/178)
- 2. Computer classes/lab (2<sup>nd</sup> priority | 6<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 36% (28/78) | 33% (57/172)
- 3. Community gardens (3<sup>rd</sup> priority | 13<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 29% (23/78) | 28% (48/172)
    - i. Different question than where the pwpt. %s come from (55% | 62%)
- 4. Recreation/organized sports (4<sup>th</sup> \*tie | probably high)
  - a. 23% (18/78) | Was not specifically asked but given similarities to physical fitness is probably a high percentage

#### Significant Difference

- 1. Transportation (\*tied 18<sup>th</sup> priority | 1<sup>st</sup> priority)
  - a. 6% (5/78) | 51% (88/172)
- 2. Youth programs (8<sup>th</sup> priority | 2<sup>st</sup> priority)
  - a. 18% (14/78) | 46% (79/172)
    - i. Weirdly, this is also thought to be a neighborhood strength by 25% of GDPM residents. Perhaps there are exclusive highly sought after youth programs that can be more inclusive in the neighborhood?
- 3. GED/Adult Education (\*tied 18<sup>th</sup> priority | \*tied 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 6% (5/78) | 42% (72/172)
- 4. Job skills training/employment assistance (9<sup>th</sup> priority | \*tied 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 17% (13/78) | 42% (72/172)

#### **Neighborhood Strengths**

Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents	Resident Survey – 170 Residents
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Top Significant Similarities

- 1. Public Transportation (\*tied 1<sup>st</sup> priority | 1<sup>st</sup> priority)
  - a. 44% (34/78) | 51% (87/170)
- 2. Affordability (3<sup>rd</sup> priority | 2<sup>nd</sup> priority)
  - a. 40% (31/78) | 43% (70/170)
- 3. Places of worship (4<sup>th</sup> priority | 4<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 28% (22/78) | 30% (50/170)
- 4. Other similar neighborhood strength perceptions
  - a. Nearby to family/friends (28% | 23%)
  - b. Parks (15% | 23%)

# Significant Difference

- 1. Location (\*tied 1<sup>st</sup> priority | 5<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 44% (34/78) | 27% (46/170)
    - i. Perhaps location is most important to people who have cars more-so than rely on transportation?
- 2. Schools (6<sup>th</sup> priority | 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 19% (15/78) | 33% (56/170)
- 3. Other different neighborhood strength perceptions
  - a. Youth programs (3% | 25%)
  - b. Shopping/retail stores (8% | 24%)
  - c. Social events (4% | 22%)
  - d. Childcare (1% | 22%)
  - e. Employment Opportunities (5% | 20%)

## **Neighborhood Organization Participation**

Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents	Resident Survey – 154 Residents

Significant Difference

- 1. Yes
  - a. 50% (39/78) | 13% (20/154)
- 2. No
- a. 50% (39/78) | 87% (134/154)
  - i. A large majority of GDPM residents claimed they were unaware of civic groups or didn't know of their existence

## Are There Current Neighborhood Services/Organizations Important You?

Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents Resident Survey – 154 Residents

Significant Difference

- 1. Yes
  - a. 47% (37/78) | 26% (40/154)
- 2. No
- a. 53% (41/78) | 74% (114/154)
  - I would have guessed more GDPM residents would rely on neighborhood services

#### **Business Desires**

Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents	Resident Survey – 172 Residents
I Neighborhood Janvey 70 Nejhachti	resident salvey 1/2 residents

Top Significant Similarities – perhaps we need to address 3 separate strategies, grocery store, restaurant and farmers market strategies while also having a general retail strategy that would encompass the remaining desires. Can think of the library as a possible opportunity for all desires below.

- 1. Grocery Store (1<sup>st</sup> priority | 1<sup>st</sup> priority)
  - a. 73% (57/78) | 69% (119/172)
- 2. Restaurants (2<sup>nd</sup> priority | 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 47% (37/78) | 51% (88/172)
    - i. There is also significant desire for food service jobs among GDPM residents
- 3. Farmers Market (3<sup>rd</sup> priority | 7<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 42% (33/78) | 34% (58/172)
- 4. Clothing Store (\*tie 6<sup>th</sup> priority | 4<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 21% (16/78) | 43% (74/172)
- 5. Retail/Large Generic Retail (\*tie 6<sup>th</sup> | 6<sup>th</sup> priority
  - a. 21% (16/78) | 35% (60/172)
- 6. Other Businesses Desired (>20% by both parties)
  - a. Bookstore (22% | 26%)
  - b. Coffee (24% | 20%)
    - i. These go hand in hand together and perhaps would amount to a place to hang out as I suspect it's actually a smaller margin that are avid readers
    - ii. Especially a potential reuse for current library?

Significant Differences – all businesses but a hardware were listed by more than 20% of GDPM residents as desired while 20% of neighborhood resident respondents listed 7 of the 21 options as desired.

- 1. Laundromat (11th priority | 2nd priority)
  - a. 15% (12/78 | 56% (96/172)
- 2. Childcare (15<sup>th</sup> priority | 5<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 8% (6/78) | 36% (62/172)
    - i. While seemingly insignificant for the neighborhood, there are only 7 people that responded that have children. It is possibly a very high and underserved priority of those 7 people, based on 6 people prioritizing childcare

- 3. Beauty salon/Barber shop (16<sup>th</sup> priority | 8<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 6% (5/78) | 32% (55/172)
    - i. Perhaps people drive to these normally in the neighborhood? Perhaps these historically social businesses are seen as more important socially by lower economic class individuals?
- 4. Other significant and different business desires
  - a. Significant as in (>10% & <20% neighborhood residents | >30% of GDPM residents)
    - i. Movie Theater (19% | 30%)
    - ii. Social Services (13% | 31%)

#### Safety Concerns

# Neighborhood Survey – 78 Residents

Resident Survey – 172 Residents

Top Significant Similarities

- 1. Drug dealing (1st priority | 1st priority)
  - a. 80% (57/78) | 71% (119/172)
- 2. Drug Use (4<sup>th</sup> priority | 2<sup>nd</sup> priority)
  - a. 40% (31/78 | 59% (101/172)
    - i. Need a drug component of the Transformation Plan?
- 3. Theft (2<sup>nd</sup> priority | 4<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - a. 42% (33/78) | 50% (86/172)
- 4. Traffic/speeding (3<sup>rd</sup> priority | 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 41% (32/78) | 51% (88/172)
    - i. Street calming/transit corridor improvements?
- 5. Other shared concerns
  - a. Homeless/transients (33% | 23%)

## Significant Differences

- 1. Juvenile delinquency (10% | 47%, 5<sup>th</sup> priority)
  - i. How to address this for residents specifically?
- 2. Gang activity (4% | 44% 6<sup>th</sup> priority)
- 3. Domestic violence (6% | 40%, 7<sup>th</sup> priority)
- 4. Child Abuse (4% | 25%)
- 5. Prostitution (4% | 19%)

# **Safety Desires**

Neighborhood Survey – 43 Residents Resident Survey – 173 Residents

Top Significant Similarities

1. Police Presence – (1<sup>st</sup> priority | 2<sup>nd</sup> priority)

a. 53% (23/43) | 41% (71/173)

# Significant Differences

- 1. Working with youth (N/A | 1st priority)
  - a. N/A | 49% (85/173)
    - i. Is this to help Juvenile Delinquency and gangs, tie in with mentoring?
- 2. Neighborhood Watch/Community Policing (\*tie 4<sup>th</sup> priority | \*tie 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. 9% (4/43) | 39% (67/173)
- 3. Crime Prevention Advice (N/A | \*tie 3<sup>rd</sup> priority)
  - a. N/A | 39% (67/173)
- 4. Victim Assistance
  - a. N/A | 29% (50/173)